



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/557,252 04/24/2000 Justin Page 8465 EXAMINER 35557 04/26/2005 7590 CHRIS A. CASEIRO KINDRED, ALFORD W VERRILL DANA, LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ONE PORTLAND SQUARE PORTLAND, ME 04112-0586 2163

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/557,252	PAGE, JUSTIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alford W. Kindred	2163
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication, BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
atus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 January 2005	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ters prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und		-
sposition of Claims	,	
· _	4:	
4) Claim(s) 19-36 is/are pending in the applic		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>19-36</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	nd/or alastian resultant ant	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
oplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1		•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		· ·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	ireau (PC1 Rule 17.2(a)).	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/07/05.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE, filed on 1/07/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 19-24, 26-28, and 30-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ellingson, US# 6,871,287 B1.

As per claim 19, Tibor teaches "establishing a database of known private information of one or more individuals" (see paragraph [0011]-[0012]) "establishing a database of replicated information contained in one or more databases containing stored private information of the one or more individuals" (see paragraphs [0016] and [0018]-[0019]) "establishing indicia of unauthorized storage . . . private information" (see paragraph [0034]) "persistently scanning one or more network communication systems for indicia" (see paragraph [0030] and [0035]) "recording location information of the one or more databases containing the stored private information" (see paragraph [0032]) "comparing the known private information and the stored private information; and notifying the one or more individuals when the indicia are detected" (see paragraph

[0034]-[0035] whereas Tibor's approval process includes a notifying element in a manner similar to applicant's claim language).

As per claim 20, Tibor teaches "blocking access to the stored private information" (see [0038]-[0039]).

As per claim 21, Tibor teaches "reporting unauthorized use or storage of stored private information, inaccurate stored private information or a combination of the two" (see paragraph [0043]).

As per claim 22, Tibor teaches "wherein the database of known private information and the database of replicated information form part of a common database" (see paragraph [0041]).

As per claims 23-24, Tibor teaches "notifying is performed by establishing a graphical user interface from the one or more individuals to observe one or more indicators of private information usage or storage based on the established indicia" (see paragraph [0015] and [0043]).

As per claim 28, Tibor teaches "wherein the one or more other databases are substantially continuously search for stored private information" (see paragraphs [0017]-[0021]).

As per 32, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

--Tibor teaches "the first database through the computer interconnection system .
." (see paragraphs [see paragraphs [0036]-[0036]).

As per claim 36, Tibor teaches "comparing to detect differences between the known private information" (see paragraphs [0032-0033]).

Page 4

As per claims 26-27, 30-31, and 33-35, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 19-25 and are similarly rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 25 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tibor, as applied to claims 1-24, 26-28, and 30-36, in view of Tibor, US# 2004/0234117 A1.

As per claim 25, Tibor does not explicitly teaches "database replicated include credit reporting service databases . . . criminal record databases." Ellingson teaches "database replicated include credit reporting service databases . . . criminal record databases" (see col. 15, lines 52-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Ellingson and Tibor above, because using the steps to "database replicated include credit reporting service databases . . . criminal record databases" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to indicate, to the appropriate agency,

Application/Control Number: 09/557,252

Art Unit: 2163

that fraudulent data is being processed. This greatly improves the integrity of data in a database environment.

Page 5

As per claim 29, Tibor does not explicitly teach "searching is a search agent program . . . web spiders, bots, and rebots." Ellingson teaches "searching is a search agent program . . . web spiders, bots, and rebots" (see col. 3, lines 45-67). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Ellingson and Tibor above, because using the steps of "searching is a search agent program . . . web spiders, bots, and rebots" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to input data in search fashion and receive results from various sources. This give users the advantage of searching multiple data sources more efficiently.

Application/Control Number: 09/557,252

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

Page 6

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center/(EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner Tech Ctr. 2100